

Indicators used to determine which Local Authorities were under greatest housing pressure

Each LA was originally ranked 1 (high) to 5 (low) according to its levels of homelessness and house prices.

A revised measure is also shown, which makes allowance for earned incomes. There are very few changes between the two measures.

	Homeless- ness Indicator	House Price Indicator	Affordability Indicator	Combined Indicators	
				Original	Using income
London					
Barking and Dagenham	2	2	3	-	-
Barnet	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Bexley	1	2	3	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Brent	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Bromley	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Camden	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
City of London	3	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Croydon	1	2	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Ealing	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Enfield	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Greenwich ¹	3	2	2	-	-
Hackney	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Hammersmith and Fulham	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Haringey	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Harrow	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Havering	3	2	2	-	-
Hillingdon	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Hounslow	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Islington	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Kensington and Chelsea	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Kingston upon Thames	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Lambeth	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Lewisham	1	2	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Merton	2	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Newham	1	2	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Redbridge	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Richmond upon Thames	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Southwark	2	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Sutton	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Tower Hamlets	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Waltham Forest	1	2	2	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Wandsworth	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Westminster	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
South East					
Adur	1	2	1	-	Greatest pressure
Arun	2	2	2	-	-
Ashford	3	2	2	-	-
Aylesbury Vale	3	2	2	-	-
Basingstoke and Deane	2	2	2	-	-
Bracknell Forest	4	1	2	-	-
Brighton and Hove	1	2	1	-	Greatest pressure
Canterbury	1	2	2	-	-
Cherwell	2	2	2	-	-
Chichester	3	1	1	-	-
Chiltern	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Crawley	2	2	2	-	-
Dartford	1	2	3	-	-
Dover	3	4	4	-	-
East Hampshire ²	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	-
Eastbourne	1	3	3	-	-
Eastleigh	3	2	1	-	-
Elmbridge	2	1	1	-	-
Epsom and Ewell	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Fareham	2	2	1	-	-
Gosport	2	3	3	-	-
Gravesham	4	3	3	-	-
Guildford	2	1	1	-	-
Hart	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Hastings	2	4	4	-	-
Havant	1	3	3	-	-
Horsham	2	1	1	-	-
Isle of Wight	1	3	2	-	-
Lewes	3	2	2	-	-
Maidstone	1	2	2	-	-
Mid Sussex	2	1	1	-	-
Milton Keynes	1	3	3	-	-
Mole Valley	4	1	1	-	-

	Homeless- ness Indicator	House Price Indicator	Affordability Indicator	Combined Indicators	
				Original	Using income
New Forest	1	2	1	-	Greatest pressure
Oxford	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Portsmouth	4	3	3	-	-
Reading	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	-
Reigate and Banstead	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Rother	2	2	2	-	-
Runnymede	3	1	1	-	-
Rushmoor	2	2	2	-	-
Sevenoaks	2	1	1	-	-
Shepway	3	3	3	-	-
Slough	1	2	2	-	-
South Bucks	3	1	1	-	-
South Oxfordshire	2	1	1	-	-
Southampton	3	3	3	-	-
Spelthorne ³	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	-
Surrey Heath	2	1	1	-	-
Swale	3	3	3	-	-
Tandridge	2	1	1	-	-
Test Valley	2	1	1	-	-
Thanet	3	4	3	-	-
The Medway Towns	1	3	4	-	-
Tonbridge and Malling	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Tunbridge Wells	2	2	1	-	-
Vale of White Horse	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Waverley	2	1	1	-	-
Wealden	2	2	2	-	-
West Berkshire	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
West Oxfordshire	3	1	1	-	-
Winchester	4	1	1	-	-
Windsor and Maidenhead	4	1	1	-	-
Woking	2	1	1	-	-
Wokingham	2	1	1	-	-
Worthing	1	2	3	-	-
Wycombe	2	1	2	-	-
<u>East of England</u>					
Babergh	3	3	3	-	-
Basildon	1	3	3	-	-
Bedford	omitted	3	3	-	-
Braintree	3	2	2	-	-
Breckland	omitted	3	3	-	-
Brentwood	4	1	2	-	-
Broadland	3	2	2	-	-
Broxbourne	1	2	1	-	Greatest pressure
Cambridge	3	1	1	-	-
Castle Point	2	2	3	-	-
Chelmsford	3	2	2	-	-
Colchester	2	3	3	-	-
Dacorum	4	1	2	-	-
East Cambridgeshire	omitted	2	2	-	-
East Hertfordshire	3	1	2	-	-
Epping Forest	3	1	1	-	-
Fenland	3	4	4	-	-
Forest Heath	4	3	2	-	-
Great Yarmouth	3	4	4	-	-
Harlow	1	2	3	-	-
Hertsmere	3	1	1	-	-
Huntingdonshire	3	3	3	-	-
Ipswich	3	4	3	-	-
Kings Lynn and West Norfolk	4	4	3	-	-
Luton	3	3	4	-	-
Maldon	2	2	3	-	-
Mid Bedfordshire	3	2	3	-	-
Mid Suffolk	5	3	3	-	-
North Hertfordshire	3	2	3	-	-
North Norfolk	3	3	3	-	-
Norwich	2	3	3	-	-
Peterborough	3	4	4	-	-
Rochford	2	2	2	-	-
South Bedfordshire	2	2	3	-	-
South Cambridgeshire	2	1	1	-	-
South Norfolk	4	3	3	-	-
Southend-on-Sea	3	3	4	-	-
St Albans	2	1	1	-	-

	Homeless- ness Indicator	House Price Indicator	Affordability Indicator	Combined Indicators	
				Original	Using income
St Edmundsbury	4	3	3	-	-
Stevenage	2	2	3	-	-
Suffolk Coastal	1	3	2	-	-
Tendring	1	3	4	-	-
Three Rivers	3	1	1	-	-
Thurrock	4	3	4	-	-
Uttlesford	3	1	1	-	-
Watford	1	1	2	Greatest pressure	-
Waveney	4	4	4	-	-
Welwyn Hatfield	2	1	1	-	-
South West					
Bath and North East Somerset	3	2	2	-	-
Bournemouth	2	2	2	-	-
Bristol	3	3	3	-	-
Caradon	3	3	3	-	-
Carrick	5	2	2	-	-
Cheltenham	3	2	3	-	-
Christchurch ³	1	1	1	Greatest pressure	Greatest pressure
Cotswold	4	2	1	-	-
East Devon	3	2	2	-	-
East Dorset	2	1	1	-	-
Exeter	2	3	2	-	-
Forest of Dean	3	3	3	-	-
Gloucester	2	4	3	-	-
Isles of Scilly	2	2	1	-	-
Kennet	4	2	2	-	-
Kerrier	1	3	2	-	-
Mendip	1	3	2	-	-
Mid Devon	2	3	2	-	-
North Cornwall	4	3	2	-	-
North Devon	4	3	2	-	-
North Dorset	4	2	1	-	-
North Somerset	2	3	3	-	-
North Wiltshire	1	2	2	-	-
Penwith	2	3	2	-	-
Plymouth	2	4	4	-	-
Poole	2	2	1	-	-
Purbeck	2	2	1	-	-
Restormel	2	3	3	-	-
Salisbury	2	2	1	-	-
Sedgemoor	4	3	4	-	-
South Gloucestershire	1	2	3	-	-
South Hams	3	2	2	-	-
South Somerset	2	3	3	-	-
Stroud	5	3	3	-	-
Swindon	2	3	3	-	-
Taunton Deane	2	2	2	-	-
Teignbridge	1	3	2	-	-
Tewkesbury	4	2	2	-	-
Torbay	1	3	3	-	-
Torridge	4	3	2	-	-
West Devon	3	3	2	-	-
West Dorset	3	2	2	-	-
West Somerset	3	3	2	-	-
West Wiltshire	2	3	3	-	-
Weymouth and Portland	2	3	3	-	-

	Homeless- ness Indicator	House Price Indicator	Affordability Indicator	Combined Indicators	
				Original	Using income
<u>North East</u>					
Alnwick	5	4	4	-	-
Berwick-upon-Tweed	5	5	4	-	-
Blyth Valley	5	5	5	-	-
Castle Morpeth	5	4	4	-	-
Chester-le-Street	5	5	5	-	-
Darlington	5	5	5	-	-
Derwentside	5	5	5	-	-
Durham	4	5	5	-	-
Easington	4	5	5	-	-
Gateshead	5	5	5	-	-
Hartlepool	5	5	5	-	-
Middlesbrough	5	5	5	-	-
Newcastle upon Tyne	5	5	5	-	-
North Tyneside	5	5	5	-	-
Redcar and Cleveland	3	5	5	-	-
Sedgefield	4	5	5	-	-
South Tyneside	5	5	5	-	-
Stockton-on-Tees	5	5	5	-	-
Sunderland	3	5	5	-	-
Teesdale	5	5	4	-	-
Tynedale	2	4	4	-	-
Wansbeck	5	5	5	-	-
Wear Valley	4	5	5	-	-
<u>North West</u>					
Allerdale	omitted	5	5	-	-
Barrow-in-Furness	5	5	5	-	-
Blackburn with Darwen	4	5	5	-	-
Blackpool	4	5	5	-	-
Bolton	5	5	5	-	-
Burnley	2	5	5	-	-
Bury	5	5	5	-	-
Carlisle	5	5	5	-	-
Chester	4	3	4	-	-
Chorley	4	4	5	-	-
Congleton	5	4	4	-	-
Copeland	5	5	5	-	-
Crewe and Nantwich	5	4	5	-	-
Eden	3	4	3	-	-
Ellesmere Port and Neston	4	4	4	-	-
Fylde	1	4	4	-	-
Halton	5	5	5	-	-
Hyndburn	5	5	5	-	-
Knowsley	5	5	5	-	-
Lancaster	5	5	5	-	-
Liverpool	4	5	5	-	-
Macclesfield	4	3	3	-	-
Manchester	3	5	5	-	-
Oldham	5	5	5	-	-
Pendle	5	5	5	-	-
Preston	4	5	5	-	-
Ribble Valley	4	4	4	-	-
Rochdale	5	5	5	-	-
Rossendale	5	5	5	-	-
Salford	5	5	5	-	-
Sefton	5	4	4	-	-
South Lakeland	3	3	3	-	-
South Ribble	2	4	4	-	-
St Helens	4	5	5	-	-
Stockport	5	4	4	-	-
Tameside	4	5	5	-	-
Trafford	4	3	3	-	-
Vale Royal	4	4	4	-	-
Warrington	5	4	4	-	-
West Lancashire	5	4	4	-	-
Wigan	5	5	5	-	-
Wirral	5	5	5	-	-
Wyre	3	4	4	-	-

	Homeless- ness Indicator	House Price Indicator	Affordability Indicator	Combined Indicators	
				Original	Using income
<u>Yorkshire and the Humber</u>					
Barnsley	5	5	5	-	-
Bradford	5	5	5	-	-
Calderdale	5	5	5	-	-
Craven	4	4	4	-	-
Doncaster	5	5	5	-	-
East Riding of Yorkshire	4	4	4	-	-
Hambleton	omitted	3	2	-	-
Harrogate	2	3	3	-	-
Kingston upon Hull	5	5	5	-	-
Kirklees	4	5	5	-	-
Leeds	4	4	4	-	-
North East Lincolnshire	5	5	5	-	-
North Lincolnshire	5	5	5	-	-
Richmondshire	3	3	4	-	-
Rotherham	3	5	5	-	-
Ryedale	omitted	3	2	-	-
Scarborough	4	4	4	-	-
Selby	4	4	4	-	-
Sheffield	4	5	5	-	-
Wakefield	5	5	5	-	-
York	2	3	3	-	-
<u>East Midlands</u>					
Amber Valley	4	4	5	-	-
Ashfield	5	5	5	-	-
Bassetlaw	5	5	5	-	-
Blaby	5	3	3	-	-
Bolsover	4	5	5	-	-
Boston	3	4	4	-	-
Broxtowe	2	4	4	-	-
Charnwood	4	4	3	-	-
Chesterfield	4	5	5	-	-
Corby	5	5	5	-	-
Daventry	4	3	3	-	-
Derby	5	4	5	-	-
Derbyshire Dales	4	3	3	-	-
East Lindsey	3	4	4	-	-
East Northamptonshire	2	4	4	-	-
Erewash	3	4	4	-	-
Gedling	1	4	4	-	-
Harborough	3	2	3	-	-
High Peak	2	4	4	-	-
Hinckley and Bosworth	4	4	4	-	-
Kettering	4	4	4	-	-
Leicester	5	4	4	-	-
Lincoln	5	4	4	-	-
Mansfield	2	5	5	-	-
Melton	3	3	3	-	-
Newark and Sherwood	3	4	4	-	-
North East Derbyshire	5	5	4	-	-
North Kesteven	4	4	3	-	-
North West Leicestershire	4	4	4	-	-
Northampton	4	4	4	-	-
Nottingham City	5	5	5	-	-
Oadby and Wigston	4	4	4	-	-
Rushcliffe	2	2	3	-	-
Rutland	5	2	2	-	-
South Derbyshire	5	4	4	-	-
South Holland	3	4	4	-	-
South Kesteven	4	4	4	-	-
South Northamptonshire	3	2	2	-	-
Wellingborough	4	4	4	-	-
West Lindsey	1	5	5	-	-

	Homeless- ness Indicator	House Price Indicator	Affordability Indicator	Combined Indicators	
				Original	Using income
<u>West Midlands</u>					
Birmingham	5	4	4	-	-
Bridgnorth	3	2	3	-	-
Bromsgrove	2	2	3	-	-
Cannock Chase	4	4	4	-	-
Coventry	5	4	4	-	-
Dudley	4	4	4	-	-
East Staffordshire	omitted	4	4	-	-
Herefordshire	2	3	3	-	-
Lichfield	4	3	3	-	-
Malvern Hills	4	2	2	-	-
Newcastle-under-Lyme	5	5	5	-	-
North Shropshire	2	4	4	-	-
North Warwickshire	5	4	4	-	-
Nuneaton and Bedworth	5	4	4	-	-
Oswestry	4	4	4	-	-
Redditch	5	4	3	-	-
Rugby	5	3	4	-	-
Sandwell	5	5	4	-	-
Shrewsbury and Atcham	2	3	3	-	-
Solihull	3	2	2	-	-
South Shropshire	3	3	1	-	-
South Staffordshire	4	3	3	-	-
Stafford	4	4	4	-	-
Staffordshire Moorlands	1	4	5	-	-
Stoke-on-Trent	5	5	5	-	-
Stratford-on-Avon	3	2	2	-	-
Tamworth	3	4	4	-	-
Telford & Wrekin	omitted	4	4	-	-
Walsall	5	5	4	-	-
Warwick	4	2	2	-	-
Wolverhampton	4	5	5	-	-
Worcester	3	3	3	-	-
Wychavon	3	2	2	-	-
Wyre Forest	1	4	3	-	-

Note:

There were eight authorities which had no or a negligible number of social lettings.

These authorities were omitted from the analysis as these data were considered to be unreliable.

1 Greenwich was added to the list of areas under housing pressure at the request of the borough

2 East Hampshire was excluded from the original list because of a data problem. As it was a marginal case, it was not added at a later stage.

3 Spelthorne and Christchurch were not included on the final list at the request of these districts.