

# **Appendix J - Area U Residents Parking Scheme Consultation Report**

## **Background**

The council has received a petition from residents asking us to review parking in Zone U.

The suggested change would be from a light-touch scheme, currently two hours during the day (Monday – Saturday 10am – 11am and 2pm – 3pm) to a full scheme, (Monday to Sunday, 9am– 8pm), in line with other schemes throughout The City. Residents in the neighbouring Hanover & Elm Grove and Craven Vale areas were also currently being consulted on a proposed residents parking scheme. This may result in displacement into Zone U.

## **Headline Findings**

### **Which type of parking scheme is preferred?**

- 138 (53.7%) of respondents support the idea of the scheme remaining as light touch.
- 119 (46.3) of respondents support the idea of changing to a full scheme.

## **Methodology**

Brighton and Hove City Council Land and Property Gazeteer was used to provide 628 property addresses in the proposed scheme boundary for Area U. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were also invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to. 95% of responses were received by mail and 5% on line. The consultation was advertised on the council's website, via social media and by a press-release to local media.

## Results

261 valid responses<sup>1</sup> were received from within the proposed scheme boundary giving a response rate of 41.6%.

### Q1 Which of the following would you prefer?

Response Base<sup>2</sup> = 257

Remain the same (light tough scheme)		Change to a full scheme		Total
Number	%	Number	%	
138	53.7	119	46.3	<b>257</b>

### Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

(response base 257 )

Street	Number properties mailed	Number responses	Response rate %	Remain the same		Change to a full scheme	
				Number	%	Number	%
Bute Street	56	20	35.7	4	20.0	16	80.0
Cuthbert Road	44	22	50.0	9	40.9	13	59.1
Dawson Terrace	38	18	47.4	10	55.6	8	44.4
Down Terrace	3	1	33.3	1	100	0	0.0
Freshfield Road	114	45	39.5	28	62.2	17	37.8
Hendon Street	63	24	38.1	10	41.7	14	58.3
Queens Park Rise	27	14	51.9	10	71.4	4	28.6
Queens Park Road	72	27	37.5	18	66.7	9	33.3
Rochester Street	58	24	41.4	6	25.0	18	75.0
St Lukes Road	54	24	44.4	15	62.5	9	37.5
St Lukes Terrace	39	20	51.3	15	75.0	5	25.0
Sutherland Road	60	18	30.0	12	66.7	6	33.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>40.9</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>53.7</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>46.3</b>

<sup>1</sup> 21 responses were removed from the analysis for the following reasons: 14 were duplicate cases, 6 were from outside the area and 1 gave no address.

<sup>2</sup> Response base = number of people answering this question

## Q2 Any further comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. These comments were grouped together and themed as follows:<sup>3</sup>

Comments made	Number of times mentioned
In favour of a change because of current or potential parking difficulties/ general positive comments	68
No need for a change/ Unnecessary/ fine as the scheme is	55
Concerned about the cost of visitor parking	23
Don't want to pay for parking	20
Have concerns about displacement/ Concerns if Hanover is implemented	20
Not enough visitor permits	20
This is a money making exercise	14
Not enough residents parking spaces in this scheme/ will need more than one permit	11
A Change in the scheme won't help with the after 8 parking situation	11
General negative comments	9
Parking restrictions already implemented in other areas are the cause of the problem/ remove all CPZ's	9
Unhappy about the hours of scheme operation	7
Want other type of flexible parking scheme	3
Need scheme as soon as possible/ too long to wait	2
Concerns that the scheme will adversely affect businesses	2
Enforce current illegal parking	1
Don't want to use mobile payments	1

<sup>3</sup> Figures show the amount of times a themed comment was mentioned.

## Demographic Information

### Gender (response base 197)

Gender	Number	%
Male	90	45.7
Female	107	54.3
Other	0	0.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>100</b>

### Gender Identity (response base 181)

Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth?	Number	%
Yes	180	99.4
No	1	0.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>100</b>

### Age (response base 184)

Age	Number	%
18-24	4	2.2
25-34	18	9.8
35-44	38	20.7
45-54	47	25.5
55-64	36	19.6
65-74	28	15.2
75+	13	7.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>100</b>

### Disability (response base 189)

Disability	Number	%
Yes, a little	18	9.5
Yes, a lot	9	4.8
No	162	85.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>100</b>

Of those who answered “yes”, disabilities were as follows:

Please state the type of impairment which applies to you.	Number
Physical impairment	11
Sensory impairment	2
Learning disability/ difficulty	2
Long-standing illness	9
Mental health condition	3
Development condition	0
Autistic Spectrum	1
Other	2

**Ethnic Origin** (response base 195)

<b>Ethnicity</b>		<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>
White	White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	175	89.7
	White Irish	2	1.0
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0	0.0
	Any other white background	8	4.1
Asian or Asian British	Bangladeshi	0	0.0
	Indian	5	2.6
	Pakistani	0	0.0
	Chinese	1	0.5
	Any other Asian background	0	0.0
Black or Black British	African	0	0.0
	Caribbean	0	0.0
	Any other Black background	0	0.0
Mixed	Asian & White	1	0.5
	Black African & White	0	0.0
	Black Caribbean & White	0	0.0
	Any other mixed background	2	1.0
Any other ethnic group	Arab	0	0.0
	Any other ethnic group	1	0.5
<b>Total</b>		<b>195</b>	<b>100</b>

**Sexual Orientation** (response base 180)

<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>
Bisexual	5	2.8
Gay Man	9	5.0
Heterosexual/ straight	154	85.6
Lesbian/ Gay Woman	9	5.0
Other	3	1.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>100</b>

## Religious Belief (response base 184)

Religious Belief	Number	%
I have no particular religion or belief	93	50.5
Buddhist	6	3.3
Christian	48	26.1
Hindu	0	0.0
Jain	0	0.0
Jewish	3	1.6
Muslim	0	0.0
Pagan	0	0.0
Sikh	3	1.6
Agnostic	0	0.0
Atheist	19	10.3
Other	4	2.2
Other philosophical belief	8	4.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>100</b>

## Carer (response base 191)

Are you a carer	Number	%
Yes	13	6.8
No	178	93.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>100</b>

If yes, do you care for a:	Number
Parent	5
Partner or Spouse	2
Child with special needs	1
Friend	0
Other family member	3
Other	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>

## Armed Forces (response base 182)

Armed Forces	Yes		No	
	Number	%	Number	%
Are you currently serving in the UK armed forces?	0	0	180	100.0
Have you ever served in the UK armed forces?	6	3.3	175	96.7
Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household?	2	1.1	176	98.9

## Appendix

21 responses were removed from the analysis as they fell into the following categories:

- 14 Duplicates (only one responses per household was included)
- 6 Responses from residents outside the area
- 1 Responses where no address was given

Why removed from main report	Remain as a light touch scheme		Change to a full scheme		Total
	Number	%	Number	%	
Duplicates	7	53.8	6	46.2	13
Outside the area	5	83.3	1	16.7	6
No address given	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>63.2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>36.8</b>	<b>19</b>

