ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & Agenda Item 72 (b) SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE 14 March 2017

Brighton & Hove City Council

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting for questions submitted by a member of the public.

The question will be answered without discussion. The person who asked the question may ask one relevant supplementary question, which shall be put and answered without discussion. The person to whom a question, or supplementary question, has been put may decline to answer it.

The following written questions have been received from members of the public.

(i) **Brighton & Hove Bike Share- Jonathan Bromberg**

"1.9.16. I stated "It's clearly much more sensible that the proposed "hub" is sited further north along Whitecross Street so it's away from residents premises, commercial premises / deliveries, and also closer to a large group of potential users of the "hub", being students at City College".

2.9.16. ward councillor supported this.

Officers never responded about this alternative.

10.11.16. FOIA response states "This allocated area needs to be continuous (not interrupted by street furniture) and alternative sites identified unfortunately don't provide such a footprint."

Therefore council officers have NOT considered all alternatives identified.

Why has this alternative not been considered?"

(ii) **Brighton & Hove Bike Share- Sandy Crowhurst**

We would like information as to how the Operator will deal with servicing and maintenance (whether by removal or on the highway) and redistribution of bikes to avoid pile-ups at docking stations.

(iii) **Electrical Vehicle Charging Points- Paul Norman**

"Would the council consider placing EV charging points adjacent to all Car Club bays in the proposed Hanover & Elm Grove CPZ area, and include this in the forthcoming Traffic Regulation Order?"

(iv) Hanover & Elm Grove controlled parking zone consultation- John Woodington

"The street plan was drawn up with no definitive determination of the number of parking spaces required other than a dubious, limited survey by HEGLAT. Could residents be assured that an accurate survey will be made ensuring that the proposed plan will provide residents with sufficient parking spaces for vehicles already DVLA registered (+10% contingency?) and nobody will be required to sell vehicles or face daily fines of £70. This could be achieved by those requiring spaces applying for permits prior to final draft completion. The object of the exercise is to improve lives not cause stress or confrontation."