

Subject:	Items referred from 19 April Full Council meeting- Petitions		
Date:	21 June 2018		
Report of:	Monitoring Officer		
Contact Officer:	Name:	John Peel	Tel: 01273 291058
	E-mail:	john.peel@brighton-hove.gov.uk	
Wards Affected:	Various		

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

1. SUMMARY AND POLICY CONTEXT:

- 1.1 To receive any petitions referred from the Full Council meeting of 19 April 2018.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 2.2 That the Committee responds to the petition either by noting it or writing to the petition organiser setting out the Council's views, or where it is considered more appropriate, calls for an officer report on the matter which may give consideration to a range of options, including the following:

- taking the action requested in the petition
- considering the petition at a council meeting
- holding an inquiry into the matter
- undertaking research into the matter
- holding a public meeting
- holding a consultation
- holding a meeting with petitioners
- referring the petition for consideration by the council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- calling a referendum

3. PETITIONS

3. (i) Single-use plastics at events – Nicki O’Neil

To receive the following petition referred from the meeting of Full Council on 19 April and signed by 1442 people

“We the undersigned petition Brighton & Hove Council to insist that all the events held in the City are free from single-use plastics, and if not the council will not issue licenses to the event organisers”.

3. (ii) Hove Carnegie Library – Councillor Wealls

To receive the following petition referred from the meeting of Full Council on 19 April and signed by 222 people

“We the undersigned, deplore the fact that the Council made a Planning application to truncate the ground-floor book shelves at Hove’s Carnegie Library without informing the Cross-Party working panel (set up after the untrustworthy 2015 plan collapsed) that this Application would be a part of current proposals. This behaviour goes against the democratic process by which Councillors are elected to act in the public interest. As such, we insist that the current proposal be halted - and an explanation be given why Councillors were treated in a high-handed way, for, of course, the ramifications of such an attitude are considerable.”